

AKTI VIII. MEĐUNARODNOG KOLOKVIJA O PROBLEMIMA RIMSKOG PROVINCIJALNOG UMJETNIČKOG STVARALAŠTVA

AKTEN DES VIII. INTERNATIONALEN KOLLOQUIUMS ÜBER PROBLEME DES PROVINZIALRÖMISCHEN KUNSTSCHAFFENS

THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE 8TH INTERNATIONAL COLLOQUIUM ON PROBLEMS OF ROMAN PROVINCIAL ART

LES ACTES DU VIII^{ÈME} COLLOQUE INTERNATIONAL SUR LES PROBLÈMES DE L'ART PROVINCIAL ROMAIN

ZAGREB 5.-8. V. 2003.

RELIGIJA I MIT KAO POTICAJ RIMSKOJ PROVINCIJALNOJ PLASTICI

RELIGION UND MYTHOS ALS ANREGUNG FÜR DIE PROVINZIALRÖMISCHE PLASTIK

RELIGION AND MYTH AS AN IMPETUS FOR THE ROMAN PROVINCIAL SCULPTURE

LA RELIGION ET LE MYTHE COMME INSPIRATION POUR LA SCULPTURE ROMAINE PROVINCIALE

Copyright © 2005.
Golden marketing-Tehnička knjiga, Zagreb
Odsjek za arheologiju Filozofskog fakulteta u Zagrebu
Sva prava pridržana

Nakladnik
Golden marketing-Tehnička knjiga
Jurišićeva 10, Zagreb

Za nakladnika
Ana Maletić

Sunakladnici
Odsjek za arheologiju Filozofskog fakulteta Sveučilišta u Zagrebu
Arheološki muzej, Zagreb

Recenzenti
prof. dr. sc. Aleksandar Durman
prof. dr. sc. Tihomila Težak Gregl

Motiv na naslovnici
Reljef Dijane kipara Maksimina iz Prološca kod Imotskog. Arheološki muzej – Split
(snimio Tonči Seser, fotograf Arheološkog muzeja – Split)

AKTI VIII. MEĐUNARODNOG KOLOKVIJA O PROBLEMIMA RIMSKOG PROVINCIJALNOG UMJETNIČKOG STVARALAŠTVA

AKTEN DES VIII. INTERNATIONALEN KOLLOQUIUMS
ÜBER PROBLEME DES PROVINZIALRÖMISCHEN KUNSTSCHAFFENS
THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE 8TH INTERNATIONAL COLLOQUIUM
ON PROBLEMS OF ROMAN PROVINCIAL ART
LES ACTES DU VIII^{ÈME} COLLOQUE INTERNATIONAL
SUR LES PROBLÈMES DE L'ART PROVINCIAL ROMAIN

ZAGREB 5.-8. V. 2003.



RELIGIJA I MIT KAO POTICAJ RIMSKOJ PROVINCIJALNOJ PLASTICI

RELIGION UND MYTHOS ALS ANREGUNG FÜR DIE PROVINZIALRÖMISCHE PLASTIK
RELIGION AND MYTH AS AN IMPETUS FOR THE ROMAN PROVINCIAL SCULPTURE
LA RELIGION ET LE MYTHE COMME INSPIRATION POUR LA SCULPTURE ROMAINE
PROVINCIALE

UREDNICI
MIRJANA SANADER
ANTE RENDIĆ MIOČEVIĆ

SURADNIK
DOMAGOJ TONČINIĆ

Zagreb, 2005.

SADRŽAJ

PREDGOVOR _____	9	EINE SCHILDAMAZONOMACHIE AUS NASSENFELS _____	101
BY MIRJANA SANADER		VON GERHARD BAUCHHENS	
FOREWORD _____	11	BAUPLASTIK AUS DEM BEREICH DES PODIUMTEMPELS VON BADENWEILER (D) _____	107
BY MIRJANA SANADER		VON GABRIELE SEITZ	
FUNERARY MONUMENTS FROM DALMATIA, ISTRIA AND THE CROATIAN PART OF PANONNIA. A COMPARATIVE STUDY _____	13	AUGUSTA RAURICA, EINE STATUETTENGROUPE AUS WEISSEM PFEIFENTON _____	115
BY NENAD CAMBI		VON TEODORA TOMASEVIC BUCK	
LES STELES FUNERAIRES A PERSONNAGES ORIGINE DES THÈMES, MODÈLES ET DATES À TRAVERS L'EMPIRE ROMAIN _____	31	ATTIS, PARTHER UND ANDERE BARBAREN. EIN BEITRAG ZUM VERSTÄNDNIS VON ORIENTALENDARSTELLUNGEN AUF GRABSTEINEN DER NÖRDLICHEN PROVINZEN _____	121
DE FRANÇOIS BRAEMER		VON ALICE LANDSKRON	
FLEXIBLE INTENT: SHIFTING VALUES & DISCREPANT MEANINGS IN ROMANO-BRITISH RELIGIOUS SCULPTURE _____	53	BEMERKUNGEN ZU DEN FREISTEHENDEN GRABMEDAILLONS IN NORICUM _____	131
BY MIRANDA ALDHOUSE-GREEN		VON ELISABETH WALDE	
CIVILIAN SCULPTORS AND THE CREATION OF ROMANO-BRITISH CIVILISATION IN SOUTHERN BRITAIN _____	59	DIE DIONYSISCHEN DREIFIGURENRELIEFS VON HARTBERG UND BAD WALTERSDORF (STEIERMARK) _____	141
BY MARTIN HENIG		VON ERWIN POCHMARSKI UND MARGARETHA POCHMARSKI-NAGELE	
TYPLOGIE ET DÉCOR DES MONUMENTS FUNÉRAIRES DE L'ARMORIQUE ROMAINE _____	65	SPUNTI DI RIFLESSIONE SU ALCUNI ASPETTI DEL CULTO DI BELENO E DI ANTINOO _____	157
DES JEAN-YVES ÉVEILLARD/YVAN MALIGORNE		ANNALISA GIOVANNINI	
LA TOMBE MONUMENTALE DE <i>NASUM</i> (GAULE BELGIQUE): RÉFLEXIONS SUR LE SYMBOLISME DES GRANDS MONUMENTS SÉPULCRAUX DU NORD-EST DE LA GAULE _____	75	MANI ALZATE, MAINS LEVÉES, ERHOBENE HÄNDE. A PROPOSITO DI UN SARCOFAGO DELLA COLLEZIONE DI FRANCESCO DI TOPPO _____	175
DE JEAN-NOËL CASTORIO		BY FULVIA CILIBERTO/FULVIA MAINARDIS	
IUPPITERGIGANTENSÄULEN IM MUSEUM VON METZ – LA COUR D'OR _____	85	CULT AND MYTHOLOGICAL REPRESENTATIONS AS DECORATIVE ELEMENTS OF PUBLIC BUILDINGS IN ROMAN POLA _____	185
VON HANNELORE ROSE UND ISABELLE BARDIÈS		BY KRISTINA DŽIN	
DER SARKOPHAG DER CORNELIA IACAENA. EIN FRÜHER GIRLANDENSARKOPHAG IN ARLES _____	91		
VON STEPHANIE BÖHM			
ÜBERLEGUNGEN ZUR FORTUNA VON BERMEL _____	95		
VON PATRICIA SCHWARZ			

RELIEFS AND SCULPTURES OF DEITIES AND MYTHOLOGICAL REPRESENTATION AS DETERMINING FACTORS OF THE SPIRITUAL LIFE IN ANTIQUE ISTRIA _____	191	EIN JUPITERTORSO AUS DEM AUXILIARKASTELL IN IŽA (SLOWAKEI) _____	293
BY VESNA GIRARDI-JURKIĆ		VON KLÁRA KUZMOVÁ	
STATUE OF A ROMAN GODDESS FROM THE FORUM OF PULA _____	197	RÖMISCHE GÖTTER UND MYTHISCHE GESTALTEN AUS POETOVIO AUF STEINDENKMÄLERN IM LANDESMUSEUM PTUJ _____	299
BY ALKA STARAC		VON MOJCA VOMER GOJKOVIČ	
THE ICONOGRAPHY OF INDIGENOUS CULTS IN NORTHERN LIBURNIA _____	201	JÜNGLINGSGESTALTEN MIT WAFFE AUF PANNONISCHEN GEMMEN _____	305
BY ROBERT MATIJAŠIĆ		VON TAMÁS GESZTELYI	
AFTERLIFE IDEAS ON MILITARY MONUMENTS IN NARONA HINTERLAND _____	205	MACHTSPLITTER – ARCHITEKTURTEILE AUS DER KAISERRESIDENZ SIRMIMUM (SREMSKA MITROVICA) _____	311
BY RADOŠLAV DODIG		VON CHRISTINE ETEL	
RELIGION AND MYTH ON MONUMENTS FROM ZADAR AND SURROUNDINGS IN THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL MUSEUM IN ZADAR _____	213	EINE NEUE BILDHAUERWERKSTATT IM OBERLAND DES BALATON (PLATTENSEE)? _____	319
BY KORNELIJA A. GIUNIO		VON SYLVIA PALÁGYI	
KULTSKULPTUREN AUS DER ANTIKEN STADT SENIA _____	223	DIE GIGANTEN VOM PFAFFENBERG BEI CARNUNTUM _____	329
VON MIROSLAV GLAVIČIĆ		VON GABRIELLE KREMER	
RELIEFS OF THE LABOURS OF HERACLES ON A ROMAN “SARCOPHAGUS” IN THE CHURCH OF ST CAIUS IN SOLIN _____	229	DURCHBROCHEN GEARBEITETE WEIHRELIEFS AUS DAKIEN _____	337
BY JASNA JELIČIĆ-RADONIĆ		VON ALFRED SCHÄFER	
RELIGIOUS TESTIMONIES FOUND ON ROMAN GEMS FROM DALMATIA KEPT IN THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL MUSEUM IN VENICE _____	237	CULT SYMBOLS AND IMAGES ON FUNERARY MONUMENTS OF THE ROMAN PERIOD IN THE CENTRAL SECTION OF DARDANIA _____	343
BY BRUNA NARDELLI		BY EXHLALE DOBRUNA-SALIHU	
HVCVSOVE – “THIS IS WHERE SACRIFICES WERE OFFERED” – ARCHAEOLOGICAL FINDS IN THE SUBSTRUCTIONS OF DIOCLETIAN’S PALACE IN SPLIT _____	243	DIE PLASTISCHE AUSSTATTUNG VON HEILIGTÜMERN DES THRAKISCHEN REITERS IM TERRITORIUM VON PHILIPPOLIS (PLOVDIV) _____	351
BY TAJMA RISMONDO		VON MANFRED OPPERMAN	
SOME EXAMPLES OF LOCAL PRODUCTION OF MITHRAIC RELIEFS FROM ROMAN DALMATIA _____	249	NOVAE – STELES WITH REPRESENTATIONS OF BIRDS _____	363
BY GORANKA LIPOVAC VRKLJAN		BY PIOTR DYCZEK	
DIANA AND THE FAWN _____	259	PAST AND PRESENT: NOTES ON THE IDENTITY OF ROMAN IMPERIAL SMYRNA _____	373
BY MARINA MILIČEVIĆ BRDAČ		BY CARLO FRANCO	
TPOLOGY OF MITHRAIC CULT RELIEFS FROM SOUTH-EASTERN EUROPE _____	269	OMNIPOTENS ET OMNIPARENS DEA SYRIA. ASPECTS OF HER ICONOGRAPHY _____	381
BY ŽELJKO MILETIĆ		BY ILONA SKUPINSKA-LOVSET	
THE ANCIENT CULTUAL UNITY BETWEEN THE CENTRAL ADRIATIC LITTORAL AND THE DELMATIAN HINTERLAND _____	275	ANTAIOS, AN EGYPTIAN GOD IN ROMAN EGYPT: EXTRACTING AN ICONOGRAPHY _____	389
BY MARIN ZANINOVIĆ		BY DONALD BAILEY	
EINE UNVERÖFFENTLICHTE GRABSTELE AUS TILURIUM _____	281	THE PAMPHILI OBELISK: TWO NOTES ON PHARAONIC ELEMENTS IN DOMITIAN IDEOLOGY _____	399
VON DOMAGOJ TONČINIĆ		BY EMANUELE M. CIAMPINI	
FORTUNA-NEMESIS STATUES IN AQUINCUM _____	287		
BY KRISZTINA SZIRMAI			

ROMANIZING BAAL:
THE ART OF SATURN WORSHIP
IN NORTH AFRICA _____ 403
BY ANDREW WILSON

THE SYNCRETISM OF BELIFES
AS EXPRESSED IN ROMAN
PROVINCIAL SCULPTURE _____ 409
BY CLAIRE K. LINDGREN

ÜBERALL (GÖTTER)GLEICH? –
THEOMORPHE BILDNISSE
DER FRAUEN
DES RÖMISCHEN KAISERHAUSES _____ 415
VON ANNETTA ALEXANDRIDIS

‘DIE TREFFLICHE GRUPPE DER FLUCHT
DES ÄNEAS’. EIN TROIANISCHES THEMA
IN DER PROVINZ: DIE AENEAS-GRUPPE
IN STUTTGART UND VERWANDTE
DARSTELLUNGEN. ZU IKONOGRAPHIE
UND BEDEUTUNG. _____ 423
VON JUTTA RONKE

DIE BEFRACHTUNG GÄNGIGER
GRIECHISCH-RÖMISCHER SYMBOLE
MIT NEUEN RELIGIÖSEN INHALTEN
AUF DEN RELIEFS
DER MITHRASMYSTERIEN _____ 433
VON MARIA WEISS

RÖMISCHE STEINDENKMÄLER
IN DER WEB-PLATTFORM
WWW.UBI-ERAT-LUPA.ORG _____ 441
VON FRIEDERIKE HARL UND KURT SCHALLER

‘STEIN – RELIEF- INSCHRIFT’. KONTUREN
EINES FORSCHUNGSPROJEKTES _____ 449
VON CH. HEMMERS, ST. TRAXLER, CH. UHLIR
UND W. WOHLMAYR

EIN NEUFUND AUS DER STEIERMARK _____ 455
VON BERNHARD HEBERT

PROGRAM KOLOKVIJA
(PROGRAMM, PROGRAM, PROGRAMME) _____ 457

SUDIONICI (TEILNEHMER,
PARTICIPANTS, PARTICIPANTS) _____ 459

CIVILIAN SCULPTORS AND THE CREATION OF ROMANO-BRITISH CIVILISATION IN SOUTHERN BRITAIN

BY MARTIN HENIG

The introduction, around the middle of the 1st century, of the craft of sculpture into the new province of *Britannia* has traditionally been associated with the army which arrived in Britain from the Rhine-Danube frontiers. There are, indeed, some good examples of military tombstones from Colchester, Cirencester and Gloucester produced within a decade or so of AD 43 similar in style to stele from military stations in the Rhineland, which are likely to have been the work of sculptors in, or closely associated with, the Roman army.¹ However in a very large area of southern Britain from the coast to hills and high ground north of the River Thames the Roman army was never much in evidence and this was true even in some areas beyond this frontier line, more or less marked by the west-east Roman road which later centuries would call Ake-man Street. The over obsession with military archaeology amongst English Roman archaeologists of the 20th century (for instance Eric Birley and Sheppard Frere) have perhaps disguised the real possibility of a powerful impact from a wealthy pro-Roman native aristocracy associated with the Atrebatian client kingdom of King Togidubnus and other areas notably that of the allied Dobunni of Gloucestershire recorded by Dio as being on the side of the Romans from the beginning.² The scenario proposed here is in fact much closer to that described by Greg Woolf in his splendid book about Gaul, *Becoming Roman* and followed by me in *The Heirs of King Verica*.³ The peoples of southern Britain did not

need any compulsion or encouragement from passing soldiers to adopt Roman standards of *humanitas*.

At a cultural level, the events of 43 were essentially ones involving an intensification of processes started decades before. Roman consumables and vessels connected with the drinking of wine including silver cups and bronze jugs were already in use amongst members of the chieftain class.⁴ Figural devices upon native coinages display a Roman attitude to life. There has even been a recent suggestion that the Atrebatian king (Verica) employed a 'Roman' regiment at what even before 43 may have been a Royal site at Fishbourne, Sussex.⁵ However, no evidence has yet been found for monumental building or concomitant sculptural embellishment of native houses or shrines until contacts were intensified.

In AD 43 Fishbourne seems to have served as a supply base for the expeditionary force which, whatever its other aims, was designed to restore Verica to his realm. Verica and then his successor, whose name Tiberius Claudius Togidubnus proclaims him to have been a Roman citizen, would have continued to be associated with this site and with the nearby town of *Noviomagus* (Chichester) which grew up on the site of the previous oppidum. Unfortunately in this area of brickearth on the coastal plain and of chalk above, most sculpture has long ago been re-used or burnt for lime. What few pieces remain are very difficult to date within a half century. Fishbourne has yielded a fragmentary marble head of Togidubnus as a young man (or a member of

¹ J. Huskinson, CSIR Great Britain I 8. Eastern England (British Academy, Oxford 1994) nos. 47 and 48. – G. Webster, *Military Equestrian Tombstones*. In: M. Henig, CSIR Great Britain I 7. Roman Sculpture from the Cotswold Region (British Academy, Oxford 1993) nos. 137–140.

² Dio LX, 20.

³ G. Woolf, *Becoming Roman. The Origins of Provincial Civilization in Gaul* (Cambridge 1998). – M. Henig, *The Heirs of King Verica. Culture and Politics in Roman Britain* (Stroud 2002).

⁴ J. Creighton, *Coins and Power in Late Iron Age Britain* (Cambridge 2000).

⁵ J. Manley/ D. Rudkin, *Fishbourne before the Conquest. Royal Capital of a Client-Kingdom*. *Current Arch.* No. 187 [vol. xvi no.7] 290–298.

his family), while marble heads of Germanicus and, from the very end of our period, of Trajan, have come from Bosham along the coast a few miles to the west.⁶

Fragments of Corinthian capitals of distinctive type carved in limestone show that sculptors working on site embellished the Fishbourne palace. They were, probably, carved by sculptors from the south of Gaul.⁷ Even more interesting for our purpose is a large block of limestone found on or near what was, or became, the forum of *Noviomagus*, carrying a dedication to Iuppiter Optimus Maximus 'in honorem Domus Divinae'.⁸ The other three sides bear reliefs of which the best preserved shows two water nymphs one seen in front view and the other in rear view, with a background of water plants in low relief. The likely patron would have been an associate of Togidubnus (if not the king himself) and the sculptor very probably, once again, was a southern Gaul. The highly refined and naturalistic body modelling of the figures demonstrates artistry of a high order. Unfortunately all we have of the temple of Neptune and Minerva, which again stood in a central position in the town is the dedication showing that the principal patronage came from a guild of smiths, although the building was under the patronage of the king. The dedication is on a block of Purbeck marble, a shelly limestone that takes a high polish, quarried in Dorset.⁹ Although it is very likely that this, and a contemporary circular temple on Hayling Island, Hampshire, were embellished with decoration, nothing remains.

Fortunately there is very much more from the third temple built on what was probably the western boundary of the client kingdom, though possibly not completed until late in Flavian times. This is the famous temple of Sulis Minerva in Bath, built in high quality local limestone and richly appointed with fluted Corinthian columns. The pediment is dominated by a tondo, a gorgon-head combined with a head of Neptune.¹⁰ Once

thought completely *sua generis* its resemblance to tondi at Avenches, Nyon, Vienne and Arles suggests again an artist from the same area of Gaul as those who worked at Chichester and Fishbourne.¹¹ There is more, for the tondo is set on a *clipeus* surrounded by oak-wreaths and supported by victories on globes with tritons beyond them. The décor employs the artistic language of Julio-Claudian victory monuments, while a star in the pediment and another on the architrave refer to imperial apotheosis, here that of Claudius- or, more probably, if the monument is as late as the reign of Domitian, of Vespasian.¹²

It is certainly not surprising to find Gaulish sculptors here. Inscriptions, indeed, include one attesting Priscus, son of Toutius, a stonemason (*lapidarius*) from the tribe of the Carnutes who was perhaps responsible for some architectural ornament at the sanctuary.¹³ How long it took for local sculptors to emerge is not known but the theophoric name of a sculptor called Sulinus suggests that he came from Bath where he practiced; he is also attested by a dedication in Cirencester.¹⁴

By British standards a considerable quantity of sculpture has been found in the Cotswold region of Gloucestershire and portions of contiguous counties. The local votive sculptures makes an ideal case study for, with the exception of military tombstones from the early fortress and later colonia of Gloucester in the west of the region, and the short lived fort at Cirencester, mentioned above, all other surviving sculptors seem to be the result of civilian patronage. Moreover, although none of the pieces discussed here is well dated and there is a relative absence of useful inscriptions, it is clear that at all levels it was civilian patronage, which counted. Apart from Solinus and his father Brucetus, two other native sculptors signed work, Iuventinus, the author of a relief of [Mars] Romulus from Custom Scrubs, Bisley, and Searigillus son of Searix, who is attested on a figured altar from the temple of Mercury at Uley.¹⁵

⁶ B.W. Cunliffe/M.G. Fulford, CSIR Great Britain I 2. Bath and the Rest of Wessex (British Academy, Oxford 1982) nos. 92, 89 and 90. – See also G. Soffe/M. Henig, *Roman Marble and Bronze Sculpture in the Kingdom of Togidubnus*. *ARA*. The Bulletin of the Association for Roman Archaeology 8, Autumn 1999, 8–10.

⁷ Cunliffe/ Fulford (note 6) no. 191.

⁸ Ibid. no. 107. – Cf. RIB no.89.

⁹ RIB no. 91. – Cf. J.E. Bogaers, *King Cogidubnus in Chichester: Another Reading of RIB 91*. *Britannia* 10, 1979, 243–254.

¹⁰ B.Cunliffe/P. Davenport, *The Temple of Sulis Minerva at Bath. 1. The Site*. (Oxford University Committee for Archaeology, Monograph 7, Oxford). Cf. M. Henig, *From Classical Greece to Roman Britain. Some Hellenic Themes in Provincial Art and Glyptics*. In: G.R. Tsetskhladze/A.J.N.W. Prag/A.M. Snodgrass, *Periplus. Papers in Classical Art and Archaeology presented to Sir John Boardman* (London 2000) 129–135.

¹¹ M. Verzar, *Aventicum II. Un Temple du Culte Imperial* (Association pro Aventico, Avenches 1977) 34–41.

¹² M. Henig, *A New Star Shining over Bath*. *Oxford Journal Arch.* 18, no. 4, November 1999, 419–425.

¹³ RIB no. 149.

¹⁴ RIB no. 151. – Also RIB no. 105.

¹⁵ RIB no. 132 and A. Woodward/P. Leach, *The Uley Shrines. Excavation of a Ritual Complex on West Hill, Uley, Gloucestershire: 1977–9* (English Heritage, British Museum, London 1993) 96, fig. 80. – Cf. Henig, CSIR Great Britain I 7 nos. 60 and 73.

The best of the region's sculpture needs to be discussed first. It is characterised by delicate modelling and a feeling for texture very much in the manner of the Chichester relief and the Bath pediment. Whether any individual piece was carved by a sculptor ethnically Gaulish or by a Romano Briton is uncertain but, as with the fine second-century mosaics laid in Cirencester in the second century they seem to me to be sufficiently distinctive to call them British. Most of them show 'Roman' deities, albeit treated in a free local style: A figure of Mercury wearing a floppy *petasos* which came from a gate at Cirencester, though fragmentary, is indicative of the very high quality of workmanship available to embellish public structures.¹⁶ Likewise from the City is the upper part of the torso with cuirass of a richly patterned statue of Minerva.¹⁷ Another freestanding image is the statue of Mercury from the temple dedicated to that god at Uley, a remarkably vigorous recreation of a Praxitelean type embellished with Celtic S-scrolls in the hair.¹⁸ The most striking example of Cotswold sculpture is the great-inhabited capital depicting Bacchus and his companions (Silenus, Lycurgus and Ariadne), perhaps from a Jupiter column of the late 2nd century.¹⁹ It is a remarkable *tour de force*. A large block of stone from the villa or shrine at Box, Wiltshire represents a local deity, a huntsman (also known on much smaller monuments), who may, as proposed by the late George Boon, have been known as Apollo Cunomaglus (see below).²⁰ No sculpture from military stations and their vicinity in Roman Britain, certainly not those distant from civilian centres ever approaches this level of skill. When major architectural sculptures were required to embellish public buildings in London, it is not to the army but to civilian sculptors from the Cotswolds and the Lincoln-Northamptonshire region, that the patrons who, as in the case of the London Arch and the Screen of Gods, are likely to have been the representatives of the official, Imperial authorities, will have turned.²¹

Much of the sculpture from Southern Britain, however, is not the result of major patronage but orders from local sculptors whose traditions go back to those of Gaul but who were now fully competent to serve their communities and on occasion to innovate. Typical



FIG. 1 MARS ALTAR FROM HAZELWOOD NEAR NAILSWORTH (GLOUCESTERSHIRE). OOLITIC LIMESTONE H.0.495M. IN STROUD MUSEUM. PHOTO: INSTITUTE OF ARCHAEOLOGY, OXFORD

¹⁶ Ibid. no. 69.

¹⁷ Ibid. no. 85.

¹⁸ Ibid. no. 62.

¹⁹ Ibid. no. 18.

²⁰ Cunliffe/ Fulford (note 6) no. 122. Cf. G.C. Boon, 'A Roman Sculpture Rehabilitated: The Pagans Hill Dog'. *Britannia* 20 (1989) 201–217.

²¹ M. Henig, *Sculptors from the West in Roman London*. In: J. Bird/ M.Hassall/ H.Sheldon, *Interpreting Roman London. Papers in memory of Hugh Chapman* (Oxbow Monograph 58. Oxford 1996) 97–103.

of the region are votive altars and reliefs, many of which are not inscribed but are characterised by portrayals of the deity invoked set within a niche, which is often round-headed. Mars is represented as an armed standing figure by thirteen images mainly from the Stroud region. Most are helmeted but one is bareheaded like the triad cited below. A majority of the portrayals figure the god holding a small round shield (Fig. 1), but four including the relief carved by Iuventinus and dedicated by Gulioepius show him supporting the normal long shield. There is no necessity to invoke a direct military prototype whether from votive reliefs of Mars or tombstones of soldiers for any of these. The findspots are remote from any military station and the round shield is characteristically a local Celtic form and is carried by each of the three similar, but bare-headed, and surely indigenous warrior gods on a relief from Lower Slaughter, while the one dedication attested is likewise made by a native.²² Mars would appear here, as elsewhere, to be a purely agricultural deity associated with fecundity as the two *cornucopiae* on Gulioepius' relief proclaim. There are, in addition, three reliefs of an Equestrian Mars, one on an altar from Bisley and the others upon votive slabs from Ducklington, Oxfordshire and from Kingscote. The Bisley altar and Kingscote relief show him holding a round shield, and on the latter he appears to be associated with a mother-goddess and three *genii cucullati* (see below).²³

Another deity associated especially with pastoralism, notably sheep, an important aspect of the economy in the uplands is Mercury. He is attested by votive reliefs from Cirencester and at least two round-headed niche altars (including the one carved by Searigillus for [L]overnius, at Uley.²⁴ This site has also yielded the statue discussed above. There was evidently also a temple of Mercury in Gloucester on the fringe of the area under discussion, which became a *Colonia* under Nerva (or perhaps earlier under Domitian) but clearly always had a large local element in its population; the reliefs from here show the god with a female consort, perhaps Rosmerta, also attested at Bath where they are accompanied by hooded godlings (*genii cucullati*), and at Cirencester.²⁵



FIG. 2 RELIEF DEPICTING MERCURY AND MINERVA FROM ALDSWORTH (GLOUCESTERSHIRE). OOLITIC LIMESTONE. H.0.30M. PHOTO: INSTITUTE OF ARCHAEOLOGY, OXFORD

A most interesting variant has turned up recently, a plaque, which was evidently attached to the wall of a shrine, was found at Aldsworth, in the Gloucestershire Cotswolds and shows Mercury with Minerva (Fig. 2) who here may be performing the same fertility function as 'Rosmerta'.²⁶ Votive images of Minerva from Lower Slaughter are associated, indeed, with the triple-warrior gods, mentioned above, and also with *genii cucullati*.²⁷

The *genii* are also to be seen with a seated female deity, on a relief and a group partly in the round from Cirencester, on reliefs from Daglingworth and nearby Stratton (Fig. 3) and possibly a relief from Easton Grey, Wiltshire signed by a certain Civilis.²⁸ The Daglingworth relief is inscribed with the name Cuda who, it

²² Henig (note 15) nos. 48–60 and 131.

²³ Ibid. nos. 123–125.

²⁴ Ibid. nos. 70 and 71 (Cirencester); nos. 72, 73 and probably 74 (Uley).

²⁵ Ibid. nos. 78–80 (Gloucester); no. 81 (Cirencester); no. 82 (Swalcliffe, Oxfordshire). – Cunliffe/ Fulford (note 6) no. 39 (Bath).

²⁶ M. Henig/R. Cleary/P. Purser, *A Roman Relief of Mercury and Minerva from Aldsworth, Gloucestershire*. *Britannia* 31, 2000, 362–363.

²⁷ Henig (note 15) nos. 86–88 (Minerva); cf. no. 95 (Genii Cucullati) and 131 (warriors).

²⁸ Ibid. nos 101–103. – M. Henig, *A Relief of a Mater and Three Genii from Stratton, Gloucestershire*. *Transact. Bristol and Gloucestershire* 116, 1998, 186–189. – Cunliffe/ Fulford (note 6) no. 120



FIG. 3 RELIEF DEPICTING MOTHER-GODDESS (*CUDA?*) AND THREE *GENII CUCULLATI*. FROM STRATTON (GLOUCESTERSHIRE). OOLITIC LIMESTONE. SURVIVING H. 0.155M. PHOTO: INSTITUTE OF ARCHAEOLOGY, OXFORD.

has been convincingly argued by Stephen Yeates, may have given her name to the Cotswold Hills.²⁹ Cuda is characterised on the Stratton relief by a very large round object, perhaps a fruit (or could it be a ball of wool), upon her lap and she is clearly associated with fecundity. As mentioned above the goddess and her associates appear to be associated with a figure of Mars on horseback on a relief from Ashel Barn, Kingscote.

A final example of an endemic deity must be included though he has received considerable attention elsewhere. If the other deities attest the agricultural and pastoral economies, hunting was also important as well

as a popular diversion. This is the Cotswold hunter god armed with a bow, generally accompanied by a hound and sometimes shown with a stag as well as on a relief from Chedworth (Fig. 4) He wears a 'Phrygian' style cap and it is possible that he was sometimes equated with Attis, but the equation with Apollo Cunomaglus, 'Apollo the hound-prince' attested on an altar from Nettleton, Wiltshire is more convincing. The finest image of the god is on a large block from Box, Wiltshire and he is shown on the front of an altar from Bisley-with-Lypiatt and reliefs from Stancomb Wood, Winchcombe, Upton St Leonards and, as stated above, Chedworth.³⁰

²⁹ The name *Cuda* on the Daglingworth Relief (Henig (note 15) no. 102 and RIB no. 129) will be discussed by Yeates in *Glevensis* 37, 2004.

³⁰ Henig (note 15) nos. 110–14. – M. Henig, *Syncretism in Roman Britain. The Huntsman with the Phrygian Cap*. In: C.M. Ternes/F.P. Burke, *Roman Religion in Gallia Belgica and the Germaniae* (= *Bull. Ant. Luxembourg* 22, 1993) (Luxembourg 1994) 78–92.



FIG. 4 RELIEF DEPICTING HUNTER GOD (*APOLLO CUNOMAGLUS?*). FROM CHEDWORTH VILLA (GLOUCESTERSHIRE). OOLITIC LIMESTONE. H.0.455M. CHEDWORTH VILLA, SITE MUSEUM. PHOTO: MARTIN HENIG.

The Cotswold hunter was actually introduced to London where three representations are known, two in

the City and one from the south suburb, Southwark.³¹ These suggest the presence of both Cotswold patrons and sculptors from western Britain in the capital. Back in the Cotswolds the hunter-god proved to be of enduring importance and his iconography, shown alone apart from his hound, gave rise to a highly distinctive type of Orpheus mosaic first recorded in Cirencester and its region in the time of Constantine.³² His origins, together with the other deities discussed here, must lie much earlier in the local religious culture of the Dobunni.

This paper does not maintain that such a pattern of indigenous belief, manifested by sculpture in which the arts of Rome have simply been used to interpret native contexts is unusual. On the contrary there were many places around the Empire where the impact of the legions was nugatory. As far as Britain was concerned there were until a few years ago two views. One, following in the wake of military archaeologists such as Eric Birley and Sheppard Frere, would have seen the impulse of Romanisation as coming from the Roman army and administration and this view would previously have been espoused by the author of this paper. The other school led by Anne Ross and Miranda Aldhouse Green would have minimised the impact of Roman culture to an unacceptable degree. Increasing emphasis on cultural interchange has in recent years very much brought my views and those of Miranda together, which means that I now reject the military origins of Romano-British art in the very regions where it was first manifested and continues to be seen at its most vibrant throughout the Roman period.³³

DR. MARTIN EDWARD HENIG

INSTITUTE OF ARCHAEOLOGY, 36 BEAUMONT STREET, UK- OXFORD OX1 2PG
MARTINHENIG@HOTMAIL.COM

³¹ R. Merrifield, *The London Hunter-god and his significance in the History of Londinium*. In Bird/Hassall/ Sheldon (note 21) 105–113.

³² M. Henig, *The Art of Roman Britain* (London 1995) 152–154.

³³ Ibid. 23 and 42–43 follow the traditional view of S. Frere, *Britannia. A History of Roman Britain* (London 1967) 317–318. – Contra see A. Ross, *Pagan Celtic Britain* (London 1967) and M. Green, *The Gods of Roman Britain* (Aylesbury 1983).